TriTCE Co-Stim, Next Generation Co-Stimulatory Trispecific T cell Engagers for the Treatment of Solid Tumors

Lisa Newhook¹, Purva Bhojane¹, Peter Repenning¹, Diego Perez Escanda¹, Nichole Escalante¹, Patricia Zwierzchowski¹, Alec Robinson¹, Lauren Clifford¹, Harsh Pratap¹, David Douda¹, Alexandra Livernois¹, Chayne L. Piscitelli¹, Nicole Afacan¹, Thomas Spreter von Kreudenstein¹, Nina E. Weisser¹ Author Affiliation: ¹Zy meworks Inc., Vancouver, BC, Canada

Introduction



Bispecific TCE

Zymeworks TriTCE Co-Stim

Figure 1. Schematic of T cell engager (TCE)-mediated T cell activation in solid tumors. Lack of co-stimulatory ligand engagement in solid tumors can limit the activity and durability of bispecific TCE responses. (A) Activation of the T cell receptor (TCR) in the absence of co-stimulation can result in T cell anergy, limiting the activity and durability of bispecific TCE anti-tumor responses. (B) Activation of TCR with concomitant co-stimulation may enhance T cell activation, metabolism and fitness, cytokine production, and sustained proliferation

Co-stimulatory trispecific TCEs (TriTCE Co-Stim) have the potential to provide more durable responses and re-invigorate 'cold' tumors with lower T cell infiltration

Optimal signal strength for T cell activation

Anergy

Overactivation

Figure 2. Activation requires a balance of "Signal 1" and "Signal 2". Lack of Signal 2 co-stimulation leads to T cell anergy and no sustained T cell proliferation. Overactivation leads to T cell dysfunction and excessive cytokine release.

Paratope Engineering for Therapeutic Window Optimization

Engineering solutions employed to optimize signal strength for T cell activation and anti-tumor activity



Figure 3. CD3 and CD28 paratope engineering. (A) Surface representation of CD28 homodimer structure (modelled using 1YJD) highlighting epitopes for Zymeworks' (ZW) conventional agonist vs super-agonist antibodies. (B) Cartoon representation of the full TCR complex (modelled using 7FJD) with surface representation of CD3- ε domain, highlighting for ZW (conformational) vs the N-terminal (linear) epitopes. (C) A library of conventional agonist paratope variants with a range of CD28 binding affinities determined by surface plasmon resonance (SPR). (D) A library of agonist paratope variants with a range of CD3 binding affinities determined by SPR.

TriTCE Co-Stim Antibodies Generated Using the Azymetric™ and EFECT[™] Platforms



Figure 4. TriTCE Co-Stim antibodies with various paratope formats and geometries are engineered using the Azymetric[™] and **EFECT[™] platforms.** (A) Schematic representation of a subset of TriTCE Co-Stim antibody formats. (B) Representation of the impact of paratope format (scFv vs. Fab) and geometry on the binding affinities to CD3 and CD28 for a subset of formats with the same CD3 and CD28 paratopes. (C) Representation of affinities following CD3 and CD28 paratope engineering for one TriTCE Co-Stim format, which can be transferred among formats to create a large panel of TriTCE Co-Stim Abs.

in vitro Screening of Multivalent Geometries and Affinities **Enables Selection of Best-in Class Trispecific TCEs**



Figure 5. Lead TriTCE Co-Stim is selected through extensive screening in vitro. High throughput screening enables rapid assessment of a large panel of multivalent antibodies with various geometries and affinities. TriTCE Co-Stim antibodies are screened for T cell-dependent cytotoxic potency against target-expressing cells. A heatmap indicating cytotoxic potency is represented in the table above. TriTCE Co-stim are further assessed for target-dependent T cell activation by assessing the induction of cytokine in monocultures of T cells.

TriTCE Co-Stim screening process exhibits transferability across different TAA targets



Figure 6. in vitro high throughput screening to assess TriTCE Co-Stim formats. Test articles were incubated with T cells cocultured with TAA-expressing tumor cell lines (A,B) or in a monoculture of T cells (C) and evaluated for cytotoxicity of target cells (A) and IL-2 production by T cells (B,C). Formats 2 and 8 from Figure 5 are depicted to exemplify formats exhibiting TAAdependent or TAA-independent T cell agonism, respectively.

CLDN18.2 TriTCE Co-Stim (CLDN18.2 x CD3 x CD28) Molecules Support Enhanced T cell Mediated Activity in vitro

Enhanced long term cytotoxicity of CLDN18.2-expressing target cells co-cultured with T cells at low E:T ratios



Figure 7. CLDN18.2 TriTCE Co-Stim displays superior cytotoxic potency of CLDN18.2-expressing cell lines in long term, low E:T co-cultures. Test articles were incubated with human T cells co-cultured with SNU 601 (A) or KATO III (B) cell lines for 7 days at low E:T and evaluated for cytotoxicity of target cells. *AMG 910 (CLDN18.2/CD3 BiTE) replica produced in-house.



Figure 8. On-cell binding of TCEs to human CD3+ T cells. Test articles were incubated with T cells and assessed for binding by flow cytometry. (A) Reduced binding of CLDN18.2 TriTCE Co-Stim compared to the clinical benchmark. Affinity of the clinical benchmark for CD3 is multiple-fold higher than observed for CLDN18.2 TriTCE Co-Stim. (B) The CD28 paratope does not bind T cells without co-engagement of the CD3 paratope. Inset: T cell expression of CD3 & CD28. Dashed line represents B_{max} of CD28xCLDN18.2 bispecific.



Improved T cell proliferation and survival

Figure 9. Assessment of T cell proliferation and Bcl-xL upregulation following incubation with CLDN18.2 TriTCE Co-Stim. (A) Test articles (200 pM) were incubated with T cells co-cultured with SNU 601 cells for 7 days and quantified by flow cytometry. (B) Test articles (20 nM) were incubated with T cells co-cultured with SNU 601 cells and evaluated for Bcl-xL expression by flow cytometry. (**** p<0.0001; *** p<0.001)

Greater CD28-mediated cytokine production



Figure 10. Assessment of IFNy and IL-2 production following incubation with CLDN18.2 TriTCE Co-Stim. Test articles were incubated with T cells co-cultured with SNU 601 cells and assessed for IFN γ (A) or IL-2 (B) production. Cytokine release was not observed following incubation of TriTCE Co-Stim with a monoculture of T cells.





CLDN18.2 TriTCE Co-Stim Molecule Exhibits Superior *in vivo* Anti-Tumor Activity in a PBMC-Engrafted Xenograft Model



Figure 11. in vivo efficacy of CLDN18.2 TriTCE Co-Stim. (A) SNU 620 cells were injected s.c. in NCG mice. Following humanization with PBMCs, mice were treated IV with test article q1w (^ indicates dosing) and monitored for tumor volume (mean +/- SEM, ** p<0.01). (B) IHC of CLDN18.2 expression in established SNU 620 xenograft tumors. (C) Tumor growth inhibition constants.

Conclusions

Using our Azymetric[™] and EFECT[™] platforms, we have generated a panel of TriTCE Co-Stim Ab formats. The evaluation of multiple formats, geometries, and paratope affinities allowed **optimization of selectivity and activity** to **promote** maximal therapeutic index and efficacy.

Our lead CLDN18.2-targeting TriTCE Co-Stim exhibited CLDN18.2-dependent T cell **agonism**, with enhanced IL-2 – but similar IFN γ – production compared to bispecific TCEs. TriTCE Co-Stim induced greater in vitro cytotoxicity of CLDN18.2expressing tumor cells and exhibited **improved T cell proliferation and survival** compared to bispecific TCEs. Furthermore, our lead TriTCE Co-Stim demonstrated avidity-driven T cell binding. Finally, TriTCE Co-Stim mediated **improved tumor regression** *in vivo* compared to bispecific TCE.

Taken together, these data suggest TriTCE Co-Stim has the potential to provide more durable responses, to re-invigorate 'cold' tumors with lower T cell infiltration, while avoiding potential toxicity liabilities such as systemic cytokine **release.** Taken together, TriTCE Co-Stim demonstrates key factors that may contribute to **improved clinical outcomes.**